

Eric Lechtzin (I.D. # 248958)
EDELSON LECHTZIN LLP
411 S. State Street, Suite N-300
Newtown, PA 18940
Telephone: (215) 867-2399
Facsimile: (267) 685-0676
elechtzin@edelson-law.com

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

PAMELA KRAUSE, individually, and
on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY OF HOPE,

Defendant.

Case No.: 2:24-cv-02894

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff Pamela Krause (“Plaintiff”) brings this Class Action Complaint on behalf of herself, and all others similarly situated, against Defendant City Of Hope (“COH” or “Defendant”), alleging as follows, based upon information and belief and investigation of counsel, except as to the allegations specifically pertaining to her, which are based on personal knowledge:

1. Entities that gather and retain sensitive, personally identifying information (“PII” or “Private Information”) and/or protected health information (“PHI”) owe a duty to the individuals to whom that data relates. This duty arises because it is foreseeable that the exposure of consumers’ PII and/or PHI to unauthorized persons—especially hackers with nefarious intentions—will cause harm to such individuals.

2. Defendant is engaged in cancer research and treatment, prevention and other healthcare services to patients throughout the United States. In the course of its business, Defendant collects consumer data including, but not necessarily limited

1 to, consumers' social security numbers, first and last names, dates of birth, full
2 addresses, and preferred mailing addresses, and has a resulting duty to securely
3 maintain such information in confidence.

4 3. Defendant warrants to consumers that the services it offers on its
5 website are safe and secure. For example, it represents in the Patient Rights and
6 Responsibilities section that:
7

8 You have a right to personal protected health information, and privacy,
9 security and confidential [sic] of your information.¹

10 4. Defendant further assures consumers that,

11 [w]e are required by law to maintain the privacy of your protected
12 health information ("PHI"), to provide you with notice of our legal
13 duties and privacy practices with respect to your PHI, and to notify you
14 in the event of a breach of your PHI.²

15 5. Contrary to its assurances, Defendant did not maintain adequate
16 systems and procedures to ensure the security of the highly sensitive PHI and PII
17 consumers entrusted to it. As more specifically described below, this Complaint
18 concerns a recent targeted ransomware attack and data breach (the "Data Breach")
19 on COH's network that resulted in unauthorized access to the highly sensitive data
20 of roughly 827,000 individuals.

21 6. Upon information and belief, up to and through April 2024, Defendant
22 obtained the PHI and PII of Plaintiff and Class Members and stored that PHI and PII,
23 unencrypted, in an Internet-accessible environment on Defendant COH's network,
24 from which unauthorized actors used an extraction tool to retrieve sensitive PHI and
25 PII belonging to Plaintiff and Class Members.

26
27 ¹ <https://www.cityofhope.com/privacypolicy>

28 ² *Id.*

1 7. In the website notice, Defendant claimed that it learned of the Data
2 Breach on or about October 13, 2023, yet it waited for well over a year before
3 notifying its customers.

4 8. The harm resulting from a breach of private data manifests in a number
5 of ways, including identity theft and financial fraud. The exposure of a person's PHI
6 and PII through a data breach ensures that such person will be at a substantially
7 increased and certainly impending risk of identity theft crimes compared to the rest
8 of the population, potentially for the rest of their lives. Mitigating that risk—to the
9 extent it is even possible to do so—requires individuals to devote significant time
10 and money to closely monitor their credit, financial accounts, health records, and
11 email accounts, as well as other prophylactic measures.

12 9. Defendant breached its duty to protect the sensitive PHI and PII
13 entrusted to it, failed to abide by its own Privacy Policy, and failed to provide
14 sufficiently prompt notice after learning of the Data Breach. As such, Plaintiffs bring
15 this Class action on behalf of themselves and over 827,000 other consumers whose
16 PHI and PII was accessed and exposed to unauthorized third parties.

17 10. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's inadequate data
18 security, and breach of its duty to handle PHI and PII with reasonable care, Plaintiff's
19 and the Class's PHI and PII has been accessed by hackers, posted on the dark web,
20 and exposed to an untold number of unauthorized individuals.

21 11. Plaintiff is now at a significantly increased and certainly impending risk
22 of fraud, identity theft, misappropriation of health insurance benefits, intrusion of
23 her health privacy, and similar forms of criminal mischief, risk which may last for
24 the rest of her life. Consequently, Plaintiff must devote substantially more time,
25 money, and energy to protect herself, to the extent possible, from these crimes.
26
27
28

1 accounts, and monitor for fraud or identify theft – particularly since the
2 compromised information may include Social Security numbers.

3 16. Defendant City of Hope (“COH”), is a California nonprofit corporation
4 with a principal place of business located at 1500 East Duarte Road, Duarte,
5 California 91010.

6 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

7 17. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to
8 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). The amount in controversy in this Class action exceeds
9 \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and there are numerous Class members
10 who are citizens of states other than Defendant’s states of citizenship.

11 18. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant in this case because
12 Defendant is headquartered and has its principal place of business in this District.
13 Defendant conducts substantial business and has minimum contacts with the State
14 of California.

15 19. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because
16 Defendant and/or its parents or affiliates are headquartered in this District and a
17 substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff’s claims occurred
18 in this District.

19 **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

20 ***Defendant and the Services it Provides.***

21 20. Defendant COH is a renowned cancer research and treatment institution
22 operating a network of facilities throughout the United States.

23 21. On information and belief, COH maintains the PHI and PII of
24 customers, including but not limited to:

- 25 a. name, residential address, phone number and email address
- 26 b. date of birth

- c. demographic information
- d. Social Security number
- e. tax identification number
- f. financial information
- g. medication information
- h. health insurance information
- i. photo identification
- j. employment information, and
- k. other information that Defendant may deem necessary to provide its services.

22. Plaintiff and Class Members directly or indirectly entrusted Defendant with sensitive and confidential PHI and PII, which includes information that is static, does not change, and can be used to commit myriad financial and other crimes.

23. By obtaining, collecting, and storing Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI and PII, Defendant assumed legal and equitable duties and knew or should have known that Defendant was responsible for protecting Plaintiffs' PHI and PII from unauthorized disclosure.

24. Plaintiff and the Class Members relied on Defendant to implement and follow adequate data security policies and protocols, to keep their PHI and PII confidential and securely maintained, to use such PHI and PII solely for business purposes, and to prevent the unauthorized disclosures of the PHI and PII.

25. If Plaintiff and Class Members had known that Defendant would not take reasonable and appropriate steps to protect their sensitive and valuable PHI and PII, they would not have entrusted it to Defendant.

1 ***Defendant Knew the Risks of Storing Valuable PII and the Foreseeable Harm to***
2 ***its Consumers.***

3 26. At all relevant times, Defendant knew it was storing sensitive PHI and
4 PII and that, as a result, its systems would be an attractive target for cybercriminals.

5 27. Defendant also knew that a breach of its systems, and exposure of the
6 information stored therein, would result in the increased risk of identity theft and
7 fraud against the individuals whose PHI and PII was compromised.

8 28. These risks are not theoretical. The healthcare industry has become a
9 prime target for threat actors.

10 29. Cyberattacks have become so notorious that the FBI and U.S. Secret
11 Service have issued a warning to potential targets so they are aware of, and prepared
12 for, a potential attack.

13 30. In tandem with the increase in data breaches, the rate of identity theft
14 complaints has also increased over the past few years. For instance, in 2017, 2.9
15 million people reported some form of identity fraud compared to 5.7 million people
16 in 2021.³

17 31. The type and breadth of data compromised in the Data Breach makes
18 the information particularly valuable to thieves and leaves Defendant's consumers
19 especially vulnerable to identity theft, tax fraud, medical fraud, credit and bank fraud,
20 and more.
21
22
23

24
25
26 ³ Insurance Information Institute, *Facts + Statistics: Identity theft and cybercrime*,
27 Insurance Information Institute, [https://www.iii.org/fact-statistic/facts-statistics-](https://www.iii.org/fact-statistic/facts-statistics-identity-theft-and-cybercrime#Identity%20Theft%20And%20Fraud%20Reports,%202015-2019%20)
28 [identity-theft-and-](https://www.iii.org/fact-statistic/facts-statistics-identity-theft-and-cybercrime#Identity%20Theft%20And%20Fraud%20Reports,%202015-2019%20)
[cybercrime#Identity%20Theft%20And%20Fraud%20Reports,%202015-2019%20](https://www.iii.org/fact-statistic/facts-statistics-identity-theft-and-cybercrime#Identity%20Theft%20And%20Fraud%20Reports,%202015-2019%20)
(last visited Apr. 17, 2023).

32. PII and PHI are a valuable property rights.⁴ The value of PHI and PII as a commodity is measurable.⁵ “Firms are now able to attain significant market valuations by employing business models predicated on the successful use of personal data within the existing legal and regulatory frameworks.”⁶ American companies are estimated to have spent over \$19 billion on acquiring personal data of consumers in 2018.⁷ It is so valuable to identity thieves that once PHI or PII has been disclosed, criminals often trade it on the “cyber black-market,” or the “dark web,” for many years.

33. As a result of their real value and the recent large-scale data breaches, identity thieves and cyber criminals have openly posted credit card numbers, Social Security numbers, PHI, PII, and other sensitive information directly on various Internet websites, making the information publicly available. This information from various breaches, including the information exposed in the Data Breach, can be aggregated, and becomes more valuable to thieves and more damaging to victims.

34. According to the U.S. Government Accountability Office, which conducted a study regarding data breaches: “[I]n some cases, stolen data may be held

⁴ See Marc Van Lieshout, *The Value of Personal Data*, 457 IFIP ADVANCES IN INFORMATION & COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY 26 (May 2015), https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283668023_The_Value_of_Personal_Data (“The value of [personal] information is well understood by marketers who try to collect as much data about personal conducts and preferences as possible ...”).

⁵ Robert Lowes, *Stolen EHR [Electronic Health Record] Charts Sell for \$50 Each on Black Market*, MEDSCAPE (Apr. 28, 2014), <http://www.medscape.com/viewarticle./824192>.

⁶ *Exploring the Economics of Personal Data: A Survey of Methodologies for Measuring Monetary Value*, OECD 4 (Apr. 2, 2013), https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/science-and-technology/exploring-the-economics-of-personal-data_5k486qtxldmq-en.

⁷ *U.S. Firms to Spend Nearly \$19.2 Billion on Third-Party Audience Data and Data-Use Solutions in 2018, Up 17.5% from 2017*, INTERACTIVE ADVERTISING BUREAU (Dec. 5, 2018), <https://www.iab.com/news/2018-state-of-data-report/>.

1 for up to a year or more before being used to commit identity theft. Further, once
2 stolen data have been sold or posted on the [Dark] Web, fraudulent use of that
3 information may continue for years. As a result, studies that attempt to measure the
4 harm resulting from data breaches cannot necessarily rule out all future harm.”⁸

5 35. Even if stolen PHI and/or PII does not include financial or payment
6 card account information, that does not mean there has been no harm, or that the
7 breach does not cause a substantial risk of identity theft. Freshly stolen information
8 can be used with success against victims in specifically targeted efforts to commit
9 identity theft known as social engineering or spear phishing. In these forms of attack,
10 the criminal uses the previously obtained PHI and/or PII about the individual, such
11 as name, address, email address, and affiliations, to gain trust and increase the
12 likelihood that a victim will be deceived into providing the criminal with additional
13 information.
14

15 36. Consumers place a high value on the privacy of that data. Researchers
16 shed light on how much consumers value their data privacy—and the amount is
17 considerable. Indeed, studies confirm that “when privacy information is made more
18 salient and accessible, some consumers are willing to pay a premium to purchase
19 from privacy protective websites.”⁹

20 37. Given these facts, any company that transacts business with a consumer
21 and then compromises the privacy of consumers’ PHI or PII has thus deprived that
22 consumer of the full monetary value of the consumer’s transaction with the company.
23

24
25 ⁸ United States Government Accountability Office, Report to Congressional
26 Requesters, Personal Information, June 2007:
<https://www.gao.gov/new.items/d07737.pdf> (last visited Apr. 17, 2023).

27 ⁹ Janice Y. Tsai *et al.*, *The Effect of Online Privacy Information on Purchasing*
28 *Behavior, An Experimental Study*, 22(2) Information Systems Research 254 (June
2011), <https://www.guanotronic.com/~serge/papers/weis07.pdf>.

1 38. Based on the value of its consumers' PHI and PII to cybercriminals and
2 the growing rate of data breaches, Defendant certainly knew the foreseeable risk of
3 failing to implement adequate cybersecurity measures.

4 ***Defendant Breached its Duty to Protect its Consumers' PII.***

5 39. To date, COH's investigation has determined that the private
6 information of roughly 827,000 customers and other affiliated individuals was
7 accessed and compromised by an unauthorized user between September 19 and
8 October 13, 2023.

9 40. It is likely the Data Breach was targeted at Defendant due to its status
10 as a healthcare provider that collects, creates, and maintains sensitive PHI and PII.

11 41. Upon information and belief, the cyberattack was expressly designed
12 to gain access to private and confidential data of specific individuals, including
13 (among other things) the PHI and PII of Plaintiff and the Class Members.

14 42. While Defendant COH stated in its public notice it would directly notify
15 the affected individuals and that it is committed to keeping the victims informed,
16 upon information and belief Defendant has failed to directly notify numerous Class
17 Members.

18 43. Upon information and belief, and based on the type of cyberattack, it is
19 plausible and likely that Plaintiff's PHI and PII was stolen in the Data Breach.
20 Plaintiff further believes her PII was likely subsequently sold on the dark web
21 following the Data Breach, as that is the modus operandi of cybercriminals.

22 44. Defendant had a duty to adopt appropriate measures to protect
23 Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI and PII from involuntary disclosure to third
24 parties.

25 45. In response to the Data Breach, Defendant COH admits it worked with
26 external "security experts" to determine the nature and scope of the incident and
27

1 claims to have taken steps to secure the systems Defendant COH admits additional
2 security was required, but there is no indication whether these steps will be adequate
3 to protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI and PII going forward.

4 46. Because of the Data Breach, data thieves were able to gain access to
5 Defendant's private systems on September 19, 2023 through October 13, 2023, and
6 were able to compromise, access, and acquire the protected PHI and PII of Plaintiff
7 and Class Members.

8 47. COH had obligations created by contract, industry standards, common
9 law, and its own promises and representations made to Plaintiff and Class Members
10 to keep their PHI and PII confidential and to protect them from unauthorized access
11 and disclosure.

12 48. Plaintiff and the Class Members reasonably relied (directly or
13 indirectly) on Defendants' sophistication to keep their sensitive PHI and PII
14 confidential; to maintain proper system security; to use this information for business
15 purposes only; and to make only authorized disclosures of their PHI and PII.

16 49. Plaintiff's and Class Members' unencrypted, unredacted PHI and PII
17 was compromised due to Defendant's negligent and/or careless acts and omissions,
18 and due to the utter failure to protect Class Members' PHI and PII. Criminal hackers
19 obtained their PHI and PII because of its value in exploiting and stealing the
20 identities of Plaintiff and Class Members. The heightened risks to Plaintiff and Class
21 Members will remain for their respective lifetimes.

22
23 ***FTC Guidelines Prohibit Defendant from Engaging in Unfair or Deceptive Acts***
24 ***or Practices.***

25 50. Defendant is prohibited by the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15
26 U.S.C. § 45 ("FTC Act") from engaging in "unfair or deceptive acts or practices in
27 or affecting commerce." The Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") has concluded that

1 a company's failure to maintain reasonable and appropriate data security for
2 consumers' sensitive personal information is an "unfair practice" in violation of the
3 FTC Act.

4 51. The FTC has promulgated numerous guides for businesses that
5 highlight the importance of implementing reasonable data security practices.
6 According to the FTC, the need for data security should be factored into all business
7 decision-making.¹⁰

8 52. The FTC provided cybersecurity guidelines for businesses, advising
9 that businesses should protect personal customer information, properly dispose of
10 personal information that is no longer needed, encrypt information stored on
11 networks, understand their network's vulnerabilities, and implement policies to
12 correct any security problems.¹¹

13 53. The FTC further recommends that companies not maintain PII longer
14 than is needed for authorization of a transaction; limit access to private data; require
15 complex passwords to be used on networks; use industry-tested methods for
16 security; monitor for suspicious activity on the network; and verify that third-party
17 service providers have implemented reasonable security measures.¹²

18 54. The FTC has brought enforcement actions against businesses for failing
19 to adequately and reasonably protect customer data, treating the failure to employ
20 reasonable and appropriate measures to protect against unauthorized access to
21

22
23
24 ¹⁰ *Start with Security – A Guide for Business*, United States Federal Trade Comm'n
25 (2015), <https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/plain-language/pdf0205-startwithsecurity.pdf>.

26 ¹¹ *Protecting Personal Information: A Guide for Business*, United States Federal
27 Trade Comm'n, https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/plain-language/pdf-0136_proteting-personalinformation.pdf.

28 ¹² *Id.*

1 confidential consumer data as an unfair act or practice prohibited by Section 5 of the
2 FTC Act. Orders resulting from these actions further clarify the measures businesses
3 must take to meet their data security obligations.

4 55. Defendant failed to properly implement basic data security practices.
5 Defendant's failure to employ reasonable and appropriate measures to protect
6 against unauthorized access to consumers' PII constitutes an unfair act of practice
7 prohibited by Section 5 of the FTC Act.

8 ***Cyberattacks and Data Breaches Cause Disruption and Put Consumers at an***
9 ***Increased Risk of Fraud and Identity Theft.***
10

11 56. Cyberattacks and data breaches at companies like Defendant are
12 especially problematic because they can negatively impact the overall daily lives of
13 individuals affected by the attack.

14 57. The United States Government Accountability Office released a report
15 in 2007 regarding data breaches ("GAO Report") in which it noted that victims of
16 identity theft will face "substantial costs and time to repair the damage to their good
17 name and credit record."¹³

18 58. That is because any victim of a data breach is exposed to serious
19 ramifications regardless of the nature of the data. Indeed, the reason criminals steal
20 personally identifiable information is to monetize it. They do this by selling the
21 spoils of their cyberattacks on the black market to identity thieves who desire to
22 extort and harass victims, and to take over victims' identities in order to engage in
23 illegal financial transactions under the victims' names. Because a person's identity
24

25
26 ¹³ See U.S. Gov. Accounting Office, GAO-07-737, Personal Information: Data
27 Breaches Are Frequent, but Evidence of Resulting Identity Theft Is Limited;
28 However, the Full Extent Is Unknown (2007),
<https://www.gao.gov/new.items/d07737.pdf>.

1 is akin to a puzzle, the more accurate pieces of data an identity thief obtains about a
2 person, the easier it is for the thief to take on the victim's identity, or otherwise harass
3 or track the victim. For example, armed with just a name and date of birth, a data
4 thief can utilize a hacking technique referred to as "social engineering" to obtain
5 even more information about a victim's identity, such as a person's login credentials
6 or Social Security number. Social engineering is a form of hacking whereby a data
7 thief uses previously acquired information to manipulate individuals into disclosing
8 additional confidential or personal information through means such as spam phone
9 calls and text messages or phishing emails.

10
11 59. Theft of PII is serious. The FTC warns consumers that identity thieves
12 use PII to exhaust financial accounts, receive medical treatment, open new utility
13 accounts, and incur charges and credit in a person's name.

14 60. The FTC recommends that identity theft victims take several steps to
15 protect their personal and financial information after a data breach, including
16 contacting one of the credit bureaus to place a fraud alert (and consider an extended
17 fraud alert that lasts for 7 years if someone steals their identity), reviewing their
18 credit reports, contacting companies to remove fraudulent charges from their
19 accounts, placing freezes on their credit, and correcting their credit reports.¹⁴

20 61. Identity thieves use stolen personal information such as Social Security
21 numbers for a variety of crimes, including credit card fraud, phone or utilities fraud,
22 and bank/finance fraud. According to Experian, one of the largest credit reporting
23 companies in the world, "[t]he research shows that personal information is valuable
24 to identity thieves, and if they can get access to it, they will use it" to among other
25

26
27 ¹⁴ See *IdentityTheft.gov*, Federal Trade Commission,
28 <https://www.identitytheft.gov/Steps> (last accessed Feb. 24, 2023).

1 things: open a new credit card or loan, change a billing address so the victim no
2 longer receives bills, open new utilities, obtain a mobile phone, open a bank account
3 and write bad checks, use a debit card number to withdraw funds, obtain a new
4 driver's license or ID, and/or use the victim's information in the event of arrest or
5 court action.

6
7 62. Identity thieves can also use the victim's name and Social Security
8 number to obtain government benefits; or file a fraudulent tax return using the
9 victim's information. In addition, identity thieves may obtain a job using the victim's
10 Social Security number, and/or rent a house or receive medical services in the
11 victim's name.

12 63. Moreover, theft of PII is also gravely serious because PII is an
13 extremely valuable property right.¹⁵

14 64. Each year, identity theft causes tens of billions of dollars of losses to
15 victims in the United States. For example, with the PII stolen in the Data Breach,
16 which includes Social Security numbers, identity thieves can open financial accounts,
17 commit medical fraud, apply for credit, file fraudulent tax returns, commit crimes,
18 create false driver's licenses and other forms of identification and sell them to other
19 criminals or undocumented immigrants, steal government benefits, give breach
20 victims' names to police during arrests, and many other harmful forms of identity
21 theft. These criminal activities have and will result in devastating financial and
22 personal losses to Plaintiffs and Class members.

23
24
25
26 ¹⁵ See, e.g., John T. Soma, et al., *Corporate Privacy Trend: The "Value" of*
27 *Personally Identifiable Information ("PII") Equals the "Value" of Financial Assets*,
28 15 Rich. J.L. & Tech. 11, at *3-4 (2009) ("PII, which companies obtain at little cost,
has quantifiable value that is rapidly reaching a level comparable to the value of
traditional financial assets." (citations omitted)).

1 65. As discussed above, PII is such a valuable commodity to identity
2 thieves, and once the information has been compromised, criminals often trade the
3 information on the “cyber black-market” for years.

4 66. Social security numbers are particularly sensitive pieces of personal
5 information. As the Consumer Federation of America explains:

6 **Social Security number:** *This is the most dangerous type of personal*
7 *information in the hands of identity thieves* because it can open the gate
8 to serious fraud, from obtaining credit in your name to impersonating
9 you to get medical services, government benefits, your tax refund,
10 employment—even using your identity in bankruptcy and other legal
matters. It’s hard to change your Social Security number and it’s not a
good idea because it is connected to your life in so many ways.¹⁶

11 67. For instance, with a stolen Social Security number, which is only one
12 subset of the PII compromised in the Data Breach, someone can open financial
13 accounts, get medical care, file fraudulent tax returns, commit crimes, and steal
14 benefits.¹⁷

15 68. The Social Security Administration has warned that identity thieves can
16 use an individual’s Social Security number to apply for additional credit lines.¹⁸
17 Such fraud may go undetected until debt collection calls commence months, or even
18 years, later. Stolen Social Security numbers also make it possible for thieves to file
19 fraudulent tax returns, file for unemployment benefits, or apply for a job using a
20 false identity.¹⁹ Each of these fraudulent activities is difficult to detect. An individual
21 may not know that his or her Social Security number was used to file for
22

23
24 ¹⁶ See, e.g., Christine DiGangi, *5 Ways an Identity Thief Can Use Your Social*
25 *Security Number* (Nov. 2, 2017), [https://blog.credit.com/2017/11/5-things-an-](https://blog.credit.com/2017/11/5-things-an-identity-thief-can-do-with-your-social-security-number-108597/)
26 [identity-thief-can-do-with-your-social-security-number-108597/](https://blog.credit.com/2017/11/5-things-an-identity-thief-can-do-with-your-social-security-number-108597/) (emphasis added).

27 ¹⁷ *Id.*

28 ¹⁸ *Id.*

¹⁹ *Id.* at 4.

1 unemployment benefits until law enforcement notifies the individual's employer of
2 the suspected fraud. Fraudulent tax returns are typically discovered only when an
3 individual's authentic tax return is rejected because one was already filed on their
4 behalf.

5 69. An individual cannot obtain a new Social Security number without
6 significant paperwork and evidence of actual misuse. Even then, a new Social
7 Security number may not be effective, as "[t]he credit bureaus and banks are able to
8 link the new number very quickly to the old number, so all of that old bad
9 information is quickly inherited into the new Social Security number."²⁰

10 70. This was a financially motivated Data Breach, as the only reason the
11 cybercriminals go through the trouble of running a targeted cyberattack against
12 companies like LoanDepot is to get information that they can monetize by selling on
13 the black market for use in the kinds of criminal activity described herein. This data
14 demands a much higher price on the black market. Martin Walter, senior director at
15 cybersecurity firm RedSeal, explained, "[c]ompared to credit card information,
16 personally identifiable information and Social Security Numbers are worth more
17 than 10x on the black market."

18 71. Indeed, a Social Security number, date of birth, and full name can sell
19 for \$60 to \$80 on the digital black market.²¹ "[I]f there is reason to believe that your
20
21
22
23
24

25 ²⁰ Brian Naylor, *Victims of Social Security Number Theft Find It's Hard to Bounce*
26 *Back*, NPR (Feb. 9, 2015), <http://www.npr.org/2015/02/09/384875839/data-stolen-by-anthem-s-hackers-has-millions-worrying-about-identity-theft>.

27 ²¹ Michael Kan, *Here's How Much Your Identity Goes for on the Dark Web*, (Nov.
28 15, 2017), <https://www.pcmag.com/news/heres-how-much-your-identity-goes-for-on-the-dark-web>.

1 personal information has been stolen, you should assume that it can end up for sale
2 on the dark web.”²²

3 72. These risks are both certainly impending and substantial. As the FTC
4 has reported, if hackers get access to PII, they *will use it*.²³

5 73. There may also be a time lag between when sensitive personal
6 information is stolen, when it is used, and when a person discovers it has been used.
7 Fraud and identity theft resulting from the Data Breach may go undetected until debt
8 collection calls commence months, or even years, later. As with income tax returns,
9 an individual may not know that his or her Social Security Number was used to file
10 for unemployment benefits until law enforcement notifies the individual’s employer
11 of the suspected fraud.
12

13 74. For example, on average it takes approximately three months for
14 consumers to discover their identity has been stolen and used, and it takes some
15 individuals up to three years to learn that information.²⁴

16 75. Cybercriminals can post stolen PHI and PII on the cyber black-market
17 for years following a data breach, thereby making such information publicly
18 available.

19 76. Approximately 21% of victims do not realize their identity has been
20 compromised until more than two years after it has happened.²⁵ This gives thieves
21

22
23 ²² *Dark Web Monitoring: What You Should Know*, Consumer Federation of America
24 (Mar. 19, 2019), https://consumerfed.org/consumer_info/dark-web-monitoring-what-you-should-know/.

25 ²³ *Id.*

26 ²⁴ John W. Coffey, *Difficulties in Determining Data Breach Impacts*, 17 JOURNAL
27 OF SYSTEMICS, CYBERNETICS AND INFORMATICS 9 (2019),
28 <http://www.iiisci.org/journal/pdv/sci/pdfs/IP069LL19.pdf>.

²⁵ See Medical ID Theft Checklist, <https://www.identityforce.com/blog/medical-id-theft-checklist-2> (last visited Apr. 17, 2023).

1 ample time to seek multiple treatments under the victim's name.

2 77. Identity theft victims must spend countless hours and large amounts of
3 money repairing the impact to their credit as well as protecting themselves in the
4 future.²⁶

5 78. It is within this context that Plaintiff must now live with the knowledge
6 that her PHI and PII is forever in cyberspace and was taken by people willing to use
7 the information for any number of improper purposes and scams, including making
8 the information available for sale on the black market.

9 79. Victims of the Data Breach, like Plaintiff, must spend many hours and
10 large amounts of money protecting themselves from the current and future negative
11 impacts to their privacy and credit because of the Data Breach.²⁷

12 80. As a direct and proximate result of the Data Breach, Plaintiff have had
13 their PHI and PII exposed, have suffered harm and have been placed at an imminent,
14 immediate, and continuing increased risk of harm from fraud and identity theft.
15 Plaintiff must now take the time and effort (and spend the money) to mitigate the
16 actual and potential impact of the Data Breach on her everyday life, including
17 purchasing identity theft and credit monitoring services every year for the rest of her
18 life, placing "freezes" and "alerts" with credit reporting agencies, contacting her
19 financial institutions and healthcare providers, closing or modifying financial
20 accounts, and closely reviewing and monitoring bank accounts, credit reports, and
21 health insurance account information for unauthorized activity for years to come.
22

23
24
25
26 ²⁶ *Guide for Assisting Identity Theft Victims*, FED. TRADE COMM'N, 4 (Sept. 2013),
27 [http://www.consumer.ftc.gov/articles/pdf-0119-guide-assisting-id-theft-](http://www.consumer.ftc.gov/articles/pdf-0119-guide-assisting-id-theft-victims.pdf)
28 [victims.pdf](http://www.consumer.ftc.gov/articles/pdf-0119-guide-assisting-id-theft-victims.pdf).

²⁷ *Id.*

1 81. Moreover, Plaintiff and Class members have an interest in ensuring that
2 their PHI and PII, which remains in the possession of Defendant, is protected from
3 further public disclosure by the implementation of better employee training and
4 industry standard and statutorily compliant security measures and safeguards.
5 Defendant has shown itself to be wholly incapable of protecting Plaintiff's PHI and
6 PII.

7
8 82. Plaintiff and Class members also have an interest in ensuring that their
9 personal information that was provided to Defendant is removed from Defendant's
10 unencrypted files.

11 83. Because of the value of its collected and stored data, Defendant knew
12 or should have known about these dangers and strengthened its data security
13 accordingly. Defendant was put on notice of the substantial and foreseeable risk of
14 harm from a data breach, yet it failed to properly prepare for that risk.

15 ***Plaintiffs Suffered Damages.***

16 84. Defendant receives Plaintiffs and Class members' PHI and PII in
17 connection with providing certain financial services to them. In requesting and
18 maintaining Plaintiff's PHI and PII for business purposes, Defendant expressly and
19 impliedly promised, and undertook a duty, to act reasonably in its handling of
20 Plaintiff and Class members' PHI and PII. Defendant did not, however, take proper
21 care of Plaintiff's and Class members' PHI and PII, leading to its exposure to and
22 exfiltration by cybercriminals as a direct result of Defendant's inadequate security
23 measures.

24 85. For the reasons mentioned above, Defendant's conduct, which allowed
25 the Data Breach to occur, caused Plaintiff and Class members significant injuries
26 and harm in several ways. Plaintiff and Class members must immediately devote
27 time, energy, and money to: (1) closely monitor their medical statements, bills,
28

1 records, and credit and financial accounts; (2) change login and password
2 information on any sensitive account even more frequently than they already do; (3)
3 more carefully screen and scrutinize phone calls, emails, and other communications
4 to ensure that they are not being targeted in a social engineering or spear phishing
5 attack; and (4) search for suitable identity theft protection and credit monitoring
6 services, and pay to procure them. Plaintiff and Class members have taken or will
7 be forced to take these measures in order to mitigate their potential damages as a
8 result of the Data Breach.
9

10 86. Once PHI or PII is exposed, there is little that can be done to ensure that
11 the exposed information has been fully recovered or obtained against future misuse.
12 For this reason, Plaintiff and Class members will need to maintain these heightened
13 measures for years, and possibly their entire lives as a result of Defendant's conduct.

14 87. Further, the value of Plaintiff and Class members' PHI and PII has been
15 diminished by its exposure in the Data Breach. Plaintiff and Class members did not
16 receive the full benefit of their bargain when paying for financial services, and
17 instead received services that were of a diminished value to those described in their
18 agreements with Defendant for the benefit and protection of Plaintiff and her
19 respective PHI and PII. Plaintiff and Class members were damaged in an amount at
20 least equal to the difference in the value between the services they thought they paid
21 for (which would have included adequate data security protection) and the services
22 they actually received.

23 88. Plaintiff and Class members would not have obtained services from
24 Defendant or paid the amount they did to receive such services, had they known that
25 Defendant would negligently fail to protect their PHI and PII. Indeed, Plaintiff and
26 Class members paid for services with the expectation that Defendant would keep
27 their PHI and PII secure and inaccessible from unauthorized parties. Plaintiff and
28

1 Class Members would not have obtained services from Defendant had they known
2 that Defendant failed to properly train its employees, lacked safety controls over its
3 computer network, and did not have proper data security practices to safeguard their
4 PHI and PII from criminal theft and misuse.

5 89. As a result of Defendant's failures, Plaintiff and Class Members are
6 also at substantial and certainly impending increased risk of suffering identity theft
7 and fraud or other misuse of their Phi and PII.

8 90. Further, because Defendant delayed sending mail notice to Plaintiff and
9 Class Members for nearly a month, Plaintiff and Class Members were unable to take
10 affirmative steps during that time period to attempt to mitigate any harm or take
11 prophylactic steps to protect against injury.

12 91. From a recent study, 28% of consumers affected by a data breach
13 become victims of identity fraud—this is a significant increase from a 2012 study
14 that found only 9.5% of those affected by a breach would be subject to identity fraud.
15 Without a data breach, the likelihood of identify fraud is only about 3%.²⁸

16 92. Plaintiff is also at a continued risk because her information remains in
17 Defendant's computer systems, which have already been shown to be susceptible to
18 compromise and attack and is subject to further attack so long as Defendant fails to
19 undertake the necessary and appropriate security and training measures to protect its
20 consumers' PHI and PII.

21 93. In addition, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered emotional
22 distress as a result of the Data Breach, the increased risk of identity theft and
23
24

25
26
27 ²⁸ Stu Sjouwerman, *28 Percent of Data Breaches Lead to Fraud*, KNOWBE4,
28 [https://blog.knowbe4.com/bid/252486/28-percent-of-data-breaches-lead-to-](https://blog.knowbe4.com/bid/252486/28-percent-of-data-breaches-lead-to-fraud)
[fraud](https://blog.knowbe4.com/bid/252486/28-percent-of-data-breaches-lead-to-fraud) (last visited Feb. 29, 2024).

1 financial fraud, and the unauthorized exposure of their private information to
2 strangers.

3 CLASS ALLEGATIONS

4 94. Plaintiffs bring all counts, as set forth below, individually and as a Class
5 action, pursuant to the provisions of the Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, on behalf of a Class
6 defined as:

7 All persons in the United States who had their Private Information
8 submitted to Defendant or Defendant's affiliates and/or whose Private
9 Information was compromised as a result of the data breach(es) by
10 Defendant, including all who received a Notice of the Data Breach (the
11 "Class").
12

13 95. Excluded from the Class are Defendant, its subsidiaries and affiliates,
14 officers and directors, any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest, the
15 legal representative, heirs, successors, or assigns of any such excluded party, the
16 judicial officer(s) to whom this action is assigned, and the members of their
17 immediate families.

18 96. This proposed Class definition is based on the information available to
19 Plaintiff at this time. Plaintiff may modify the Class definition in an amended
20 pleading or when they move for Class certification, as necessary to account for any
21 newly learned or changed facts as the situation develops and discovery gets
22 underway.

23 97. **Numerosity – Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1):** Plaintiff is informed and
24 believes, and thereon allege, that there are at minimum, hundreds of thousands of
25 members of the Class described above. The exact size of the Class and the identities
26 of the individual members are identifiable through Defendant's records, including
27
28

1 but not limited to the files implicated in the Data Breach, but based on public
2 information, the Class includes more than 827,000 individuals.

3 **98. Commonality – Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2):** This action involves
4 questions of law and fact common to the Class. Such common questions include, but
5 are not limited to:

- 6 a. Whether Defendant failed to timely notify Plaintiff of the Data
7 Breach;
- 8 b. Whether Defendant had a duty to protect the PHI and PII of Plaintiff
9 and Class members;
- 10 c. Whether Defendant was negligent in collecting and storing Plaintiff
11 and Class members' PHI and PII, and breached its duties thereby;
- 12 d. Whether Defendant breached its fiduciary duty to Plaintiff and the
13 Class;
- 14 e. Whether Defendant breached its duty of confidence to Plaintiff and
15 the Class;
- 16 f. Whether Defendant violated its own Privacy Practices;
- 17 g. Whether Defendant entered a contract implied in fact with Plaintiff
18 and the Class;
- 19 h. Whether Defendant breached that contract by failing to adequately
20 safeguard Plaintiff and Class members' PHI and PII;
- 21 i. Whether Defendant was unjustly enriched;
- 22 j. Whether Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to damages as a
23 result of Defendant's wrongful conduct; and
- 24 k. Whether Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to restitution as a
25 result of Defendant's wrongful conduct.

26 **99. Typicality – Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3):** Plaintiff's claims are typical of
27 the claims of the members of the Class. The claims of the Plaintiff and members of
28 the Class are based on the same legal theories and arise from the same unlawful and
willful conduct. Plaintiff and members of the Class all had information stored in

1 Defendant's system, each having their PHI and PII exposed and/or accessed by an
2 unauthorized third party.

3 **100. Adequacy of Representation – Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3):** Plaintiff is an
4 adequate representative of the Class because her interests do not conflict with the
5 interests of the other Class members Plaintiff seeks to represent; Plaintiff has
6 retained counsel competent and experienced in complex Class action litigation;
7 Plaintiff intends to prosecute this action vigorously; and Plaintiff's counsel have
8 adequate financial means to vigorously pursue this action and ensure the interests of
9 the Class will not be harmed. Furthermore, the interests of the Class members will
10 be fairly and adequately protected and represented by Plaintiff and Plaintiff's
11 counsel.
12

13 **101. Injunctive Relief, Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2):** Defendant has acted
14 and/or refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the Class therefore making
15 injunctive and/or declarative relief appropriate with respect to the Class under
16 23(b)(2).

17 **102. Superiority, Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3):** A Class action is superior to
18 other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
19 Class treatment of common questions of law and fact is superior to multiple
20 individual actions or piecemeal litigation. Absent a Class action, most Class
21 members would likely find that the cost of litigating their individual claims is
22 prohibitively high and would therefore have no effective remedy. The prosecution
23 of separate actions by individual Class members would create a risk of inconsistent
24 or varying adjudications with respect to individual Class members, which would
25 establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant. In contrast, the conduct
26 of this action as a Class action presents far fewer management difficulties, conserves
27
28

1 judicial resources and the parties' resources, and protects the rights of each Class
2 member.

3 103. Defendant has acted on grounds that apply generally to the Class as a
4 whole, so that Class certification, injunctive relief, and corresponding declaratory
5 relief are appropriate on a Class-wide basis.

6 104. Likewise, particular issues are appropriate for certification because
7 such claims present only particular, common issues, the resolution of which would
8 advance the disposition of this matter and the parties' interests therein. Such
9 particular issues include, but are not limited to:
10

- 11 a. Whether Defendant failed to timely and adequately notify the public
12 of the Data Breach;
- 13 b. Whether Defendant owed a legal duty to Plaintiff and the Class to
14 exercise due care in collecting, storing, and safeguarding their PHI
15 and PII;
- 16 c. Whether Defendant's security measures to protect its data systems
17 were reasonable in light of best practices recommended by data
18 security experts;
- 19 d. Whether Defendant's failure to institute adequate protective security
20 measures amounted to negligence;
- 21 e. Whether Defendant failed to take commercially reasonable steps to
22 safeguard consumer PHI and PII; and
- 23 f. Whether adherence to FTC data security recommendations, and
24 measures recommended by data security experts would have
25 reasonably prevented the Data Breach.

26 105. Finally, all members of the proposed Class are readily ascertainable.
27 Defendant has access to Class members' names and addresses affected by the Data
28 Breach. Defendant has already preliminarily identified Class members for the
purpose of sending notice of the Data Breach.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENCE

(Plaintiff on behalf of the Class)

106. Plaintiff restates and realleges the preceding allegations above as if fully alleged herein.

107. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the Class.

108. Defendant owed a duty to Plaintiff and Class members to exercise reasonable care in safeguarding and protecting their PHI and PII in its possession, custody, and control.

109. Defendant's duty to use reasonable care arose from several sources, including but not limited to those described below.

110. Defendant had a common law duty to prevent foreseeable harm to others. This duty existed because Plaintiff and Class members were the foreseeable and probable victims of any inadequate security practices on the part of the Defendant. By collecting and storing valuable PHI and PII that is routinely targeted by criminals for unauthorized access, Defendant was obligated to act with reasonable care to protect against these foreseeable threats.

111. Defendant's duty also arose from the fact that it holds itself out as a trusted provider of financial services, and thereby assumes a duty to reasonably protect consumers' information.

112. Defendant breached the duties owed to Plaintiff and Class members and thus was negligent. As a result of a successful attack directed towards Defendant that compromised Plaintiff and Class members' PHI and PII, Defendant breached its duties through some combination of the following errors and omissions that allowed the data compromise to occur:

- a. mismanaging its system and failing to identify reasonably foreseeable internal and external risks to the security, confidentiality, and integrity of customer information that

1 resulted in the unauthorized access and compromise of PHI and
2 PII;

- 3 b. mishandling its data security by failing to assess the sufficiency
4 of its safeguards in place to control these risks;
5 c. failing to design and implement information safeguards to
6 control these risks;
7 d. failing to adequately test and monitor the effectiveness of the
8 safeguards' key controls, systems, and procedures;
9 e. failing to evaluate and adjust its information security program in
10 light of the circumstances alleged herein;
11 f. failing to detect the breach at the time it began or within a
12 reasonable time thereafter;
13 g. failing to follow its own privacy policies and practices published
14 to its consumers; and
15 h. failing to adequately train and supervise employees and third-
16 party vendors with access or credentials to systems and databases
17 containing sensitive PHI and PII.
18

19 113. But for Defendant's wrongful and negligent breach of its duties owed
20 to Plaintiff and Class members, their PHI and PII would not have been compromised.

21 114. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff
22 and Class members have suffered injuries, including, but not limited to:

- 23 a. Theft of their PHI and PII;
24 b. Costs associated with the detection and prevention of identity theft
25 and unauthorized use of their PHI and PII;
26 c. Costs associated with purchasing credit monitoring and identity
27 theft protection services;
28

- d. Lowered credit scores resulting from credit inquiries following fraudulent activities;
- e. Costs associated with time spent and the loss of productivity from taking time to address and attempt to ameliorate, mitigate, and deal with the actual and future consequences of the Data Breach – including finding fraudulent charges, cancelling and reissuing cards, enrolling in credit monitoring and identity theft protection services, freezing and unfreezing accounts, and imposing withdrawal and purchase limits on compromised accounts;
- f. The imminent and certainly impending injury flowing from the increased risk of potential fraud and identity theft posed by their PHI and PII being placed in the hands of criminals;
- g. Damages to and diminution in value of their PHI and PII entrusted, directly or indirectly, to Defendant with the mutual understanding that Defendant would safeguard Plaintiff's and Class members' data against theft and not allow access and misuse of their data by others;
- h. Continued risk of exposure to hackers and thieves of their PHI and PII, which remains in Defendant's possession and is subject to further breaches so long as Defendant fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect Plaintiff's and Class members' data; and
- i. Emotional distress from the unauthorized disclosure of PHI and PII to strangers who likely have nefarious intentions and now have prime opportunities to commit identity theft, fraud, and other types of attacks on Plaintiff and Class members.

1 115. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff
2 and Class members are entitled to damages, including compensatory, punitive,
3 and/or nominal damages, in an amount to be proven at trial.

4 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

5 **NEGLIGENCE PER SE**

6 **(Plaintiff on behalf of the Class)**

7
8 116. Plaintiff restates and realleges the preceding allegations above as if
9 fully alleged herein.

10 117. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the Class.

11 118. Section 5 of the FTC Act prohibits "unfair ... practices in or affecting
12 commerce" including, as interpreted and enforced by the FTC, the unfair act or
13 practice by entities such as Defendant for failing to use reasonable measures to
14 protect PII. Various FTC publications and orders also form the basis of Defendant's
15 duty.

16 119. Defendant violated Section 5 of the FTC Act by failing to use
17 reasonable measures to protect PII and not complying with the industry standards.
18 Defendant's conduct was particularly unreasonable given the nature and amount of
19 PII it obtained and stored and the foreseeable consequences of a data breach
20 involving PII of its consumers.

21 120. Plaintiff and Class members are consumers within the Class of persons
22 Section 5 of the FTC Act was intended to protect.

23 121. Defendant's violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act constitutes
24 negligence *per se*.

25 122. The harm that has occurred as a result of Defendant's conduct is the
26 type of harm that the FTC Act and Part 2 was intended to guard against.
27
28

1 123. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff
2 has been injured as described herein, and is entitled to damages, including
3 compensatory, punitive, and nominal damages, in an amount to be proven at trial.

4 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**
5 **BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY**
6 **(Plaintiff on behalf of the Class)**

7 124. Plaintiff restates and realleges the preceding allegations above as if
8 fully alleged herein.

9 125. Plaintiff and Class members have an interest, both equitable and legal,
10 in the PHI and PII about them that was conveyed to, collected by, and maintained
11 by Defendant and that was ultimately accessed or compromised in the Data Breach.

12 126. As a provider of financial services and a recipient of consumers' PHI
13 and PII, Defendant has a fiduciary relationship to its consumers, including Plaintiff
14 and Class members.

15 127. Because of that fiduciary relationship, Defendant was provided with
16 and stored private and valuable PHI and PII related to Plaintiff and the Class.
17 Plaintiff and the Class were entitled to expect their information would remain
18 confidential while in Defendant's possession.

19 128. Defendant owed a fiduciary duty under common law to Plaintiff and
20 Class members to exercise the utmost care in obtaining, retaining, securing,
21 safeguarding, deleting, and protecting their PHI and PII in Defendant's possession
22 from being compromised, lost, stolen, accessed, and misused by unauthorized
23 persons.

24 129. As a result of the parties' fiduciary relationship, Defendant had an
25 obligation to maintain the confidentiality of the information within Plaintiff's and
26 Class members' PHI and PII.
27
28

1 130. Defendant's consumers, including Plaintiff and Class members, have a
2 privacy interest in personal financial matters, and Defendant had a fiduciary duty not
3 to such personal data of its consumers.

4 131. As a result of the parties' relationship, Defendant had possession and
5 knowledge of confidential PHI and PII of Plaintiff and Class members, information
6 not generally known.

7 132. Plaintiff and Class members did not consent to nor authorize Defendant
8 to release or disclose their PHI and PII to unknown criminal actors.

9 133. Defendant breached its fiduciary duties owed to Plaintiff and Class
10 members by, among other things:

- 11
- 12 a. mismanaging its system and failing to identify reasonably
13 foreseeable internal and external risks to the security, confidentiality,
14 and integrity of customer information that resulted in the
15 unauthorized access and compromise of PHI and PII;
 - 16 b. mishandling its data security by failing to assess the sufficiency of
17 its safeguards in place to control these risks;
 - 18 c. failing to design and implement information safeguards to control
19 these risks;
 - 20 d. failing to adequately test and monitor the effectiveness of the
21 safeguards' key controls, systems, and procedures;
 - 22 e. failing to evaluate and adjust its information security program in
23 light of the circumstances alleged herein;
 - 24 f. failing to detect the breach at the time it began or within a reasonable
25 time thereafter;
 - 26 g. failing to follow its own privacy policies and practices published to
27 its consumers; and
28

- 1 h. failing to adequately train and supervise employees and third-party
2 vendors with access or credentials to systems and databases
3 containing sensitive PHI and PII.

4 134. But for Defendant's wrongful breach of its fiduciary duties owed to
5 Plaintiff and Class members, their PHI and PII would not have been compromised.

6 135. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff
7 and Class members have suffered injuries, including:

- 8 a. Theft of their PHI and PII;
9 b. Costs associated with the detection and prevention of identity theft
10 and unauthorized use of their PHI and PII;
11 c. Costs associated with purchasing credit monitoring and identity
12 theft protection services;
13 d. Lowered credit scores resulting from credit inquiries following
14 fraudulent activities;
15 e. Costs associated with time spent and the loss of productivity from
16 taking time to address and attempt to ameliorate, mitigate, and deal
17 with the actual and future consequences of the Data Breach –
18 including finding fraudulent charges, cancelling and reissuing cards,
19 enrolling in credit monitoring and identity theft protection services,
20 freezing and unfreezing accounts, and imposing withdrawal and
21 purchase limits on compromised accounts;
22 f. The imminent and certainly impending injury flowing from the
23 increased risk of potential fraud and identity theft posed by their PHI
24 and PII being placed in the hands of criminals;
25 g. Damages to and diminution in value of their PHI and PII entrusted,
26 directly or indirectly, to Defendant with the mutual understanding
27

1 that Defendant would safeguard Plaintiff's data against theft and not
2 allow access and misuse of their data by others;

3 h. Continued risk of exposure to hackers and thieves of their PHI and
4 PII, which remains in Defendant's possession and is subject to
5 further breaches so long as Defendant fails to undertake appropriate
6 and adequate measures to protect Plaintiff's data; and

7 i. Emotional distress from the unauthorized disclosure of Plaintiff's
8 PHI and PII to strangers who likely have nefarious intentions and
9 now have prime opportunities to commit identity theft, fraud, and
10 other types of attacks on Plaintiff.
11

12 136. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of its fiduciary
13 duties, Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to damages, including compensatory,
14 punitive, and/or nominal damages, in an amount to be proven at trial.

15 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

16 **BREACH OF CONFIDENCE**

17 **(Plaintiff on behalf of the Class)**

18 137. Plaintiff restates and realleges the preceding allegations above as if
19 fully alleged herein.

20 138. Plaintiff and Class members have an interest, both equitable and legal,
21 in the PHI and PII about them that was conveyed to, collected by, and maintained
22 by Defendant and that was ultimately accessed or compromised in the Data Breach.

23 139. As a provider of financial services and a recipient of consumers' PHI
24 and PII, Defendant has a fiduciary relationship to its consumers, including Plaintiff
25 and Class members.
26
27
28

1 140. Plaintiff provided Defendant with her personal and confidential PHI
2 and PII under both the express and/or implied agreement of Defendant to limit the
3 use and disclosure of such PHI and PII.

4 141. Defendant owed a duty to Plaintiff to exercise the utmost care in
5 obtaining, retaining, securing, safeguarding, deleting, and protecting the PHI and PII
6 in its possession from being compromised, lost, stolen, accessed by, misused by, or
7 disclosed to unauthorized persons.

8 142. As a result of the parties' relationship, Defendant had possession and
9 knowledge of confidential PHI and PII of Plaintiff.

10 143. Plaintiff's PHI and PII is not generally known to the public and is
11 confidential by nature.

12 144. Plaintiff did not consent to nor authorize Defendant to release or
13 disclose her PHI and PII to an unknown criminal actor.

14 145. Defendant breached the duties of confidence it owed to Plaintiff when
15 Plaintiff's PHI and PII was disclosed to unknown criminal hackers.

16 146. Defendant breached its duties of confidence by failing to safeguard
17 Plaintiff's and Class members' PHI and PII, including by, among other things: (a)
18 mismanaging its system and failing to identify reasonably foreseeable internal and
19 external risks to the security, confidentiality, and integrity of customer information
20 that resulted in the unauthorized access and compromise of PHI and PII; (b)
21 mishandling its data security by failing to assess the sufficiency of its safeguards in
22 place to control these risks; (c) failing to design and implement information
23 safeguards to control these risks; (d) failing to adequately test and monitor the
24 effectiveness of the safeguards' key controls, systems, and procedures; (e) failing to
25 evaluate and adjust its information security program in light of the circumstances
26 alleged herein; (f) failing to detect the breach at the time it began or within a
27

1 reasonable time thereafter; (g) failing to follow its on privacy policies and practices
2 published to its consumers; (h) storing PHI and PII in an unencrypted and vulnerable
3 manner, allowing its disclosure to hackers; and (i) making an unauthorized and
4 unjustified disclosure and release of Plaintiff's PHI and PII to a criminal third party.

5
6 147. But for Defendant's wrongful breach of its duty of confidences owed
7 to Plaintiff, her privacy, confidences, PHI and PII would not have been compromised.

8 148. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of Plaintiff's
9 confidences, Plaintiff has suffered injuries, including:

- 10 a. Theft of her PHI and PII;
 - 11 b. Costs associated with the detection and prevention of identity theft
12 and unauthorized use of her PHI and PII;
 - 13 c. Costs associated with purchasing credit monitoring and identity
14 theft protection services;
 - 15 d. Lowered credit scores resulting from credit inquiries following
16 fraudulent activities;
 - 17 e. Costs associated with time spent and the loss of productivity from
18 taking time to address and attempt to ameliorate, mitigate, and deal
19 with the actual and future consequences of the COH Data Breach –
20 including finding fraudulent charges, cancelling and reissuing cards,
21 enrolling in credit monitoring and identity theft protection services,
22 freezing and unfreezing accounts, and imposing withdrawal and
23 purchase limits on compromised accounts;
 - 24 f. The imminent and certainly impending injury flowing from the
25 increased risk of potential fraud and identity theft posed by their PHI
26 and PII being placed in the hands of criminals;
- 27
28

- 1 g. Damages to and diminution in value of her PHI and PII entrusted,
2 directly or indirectly, to Defendant with the mutual understanding
3 that Defendant would safeguard Plaintiff's data against theft and not
4 allow access and misuse of their data by others;
- 5 h. Continued risk of exposure to hackers and thieves of her PHI and
6 PII, which remains in Defendant's possession and is subject to
7 further breaches so long as Defendant fails to undertake appropriate
8 and adequate measures to protect Plaintiff's data; and
- 9 i. Loss of personal time spent carefully reviewing statements from
10 health insurers and providers to check for charges for services not
11 received, as directed to do by Defendant.
12

13 149. Additionally, Defendant received payments from Plaintiff for services
14 with the understanding that Defendant would uphold its responsibilities to maintain
15 the confidences of Plaintiff's PHI and PII.

16 150. Defendant breached the confidence of Plaintiff when it made an
17 unauthorized release and disclosure of her PHI and PII and, accordingly, it would be
18 inequitable for Defendant to retain the benefit at Plaintiff's expense.

19 151. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of its duty of
20 confidences, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to damages, including compensatory,
21 punitive, and/or nominal damages, and/or disgorgement or restitution, in an amount
22 to be proven at trial.
23
24
25
26
27
28

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
INTRUSION UPON SECLUSION/INVASION OF PRIVACY
(Plaintiff on behalf of the Class)

152. Plaintiff restate and reallege the preceding allegations above as if fully alleged herein.

153. Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation of privacy in the PHI and PII Defendant mishandled.

154. Defendant's conduct as alleged above intruded upon Plaintiff and Class members' seclusion under common law.

155. By intentionally failing to keep Plaintiff's PHI and PII safe, and by intentionally misusing and/or disclosing said information to unauthorized parties for unauthorized use, Defendant intentionally invaded Plaintiff and Class members' privacy by:

- a. Intentionally and substantially intruding into Plaintiff and Class members' private affairs in a manner that identifies Plaintiff and Class members and that would be highly offensive and objectionable to an ordinary person;
- b. Intentionally publicizing private facts about Plaintiff and Class members, which is highly offensive and objectionable to an ordinary person; and
- c. Intentionally causing anguish or suffering to Plaintiff and Class members.

156. Defendant knew that an ordinary person in Plaintiff or Class members' position would consider Defendant's intentional actions highly offensive and objectionable.

1 157. Defendant invaded Plaintiff and Class members' right to privacy and
2 intruded into Plaintiff's and Class members' private affairs by intentionally misusing
3 and/or disclosing their PHI and PII without their informed, voluntary, affirmative,
4 and clear consent.

5 158. Defendant intentionally concealed from and delayed reporting to
6 Plaintiff and Class members a security incident that misused and/or disclosed their
7 PHI and PII without their informed, voluntary, affirmative, and clear consent.

8 159. The conduct described above was directed at Plaintiff and Class
9 members.

10 160. As a proximate result of such intentional misuse and disclosures,
11 Plaintiff's and Class members' reasonable expectations of privacy in their PHI and
12 PII was unduly frustrated and thwarted. Defendant's conduct amounted to a
13 substantial and serious invasion of Plaintiff's and Class members' protected privacy
14 interests causing anguish and suffering such that an ordinary person would consider
15 Defendant's intentional actions or inaction highly offensive and objectionable.

16 161. In failing to protect Plaintiff's and Class members' PHI and PII, and in
17 intentionally misusing and/or disclosing their PHI and PII, Defendant acted with
18 intentional malice and oppression and in conscious disregard of Plaintiff and Class
19 members' rights to have such information kept confidential and private. Plaintiff,
20 therefore, seeks an award of damages on behalf of herself and the Class.

21 162. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and
22 Class members are entitled to damages, including compensatory, punitive, and/or
23 nominal damages, in an amount to be proven at trial.
24
25
26
27
28

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION
BREACH OF IMPLIED CONTRACT
(Plaintiff on behalf of the Class)

163. Plaintiff restates and realleges the preceding allegations above as if fully alleged herein. re

164. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the Class.

165. When Plaintiff and Class members provided their PHI and PII to Defendant in exchange for healthcare services, they entered into implied contracts with Defendant, under which Defendant agreed to take reasonable steps to protect Plaintiff's and Class members' PHI and PII, comply with statutory and common law duties to protect their PHI and PII, and to timely notify them in the event of a data breach.

166. Defendant solicited and invited Plaintiff and Class members to provide their PHI and PII as part of Defendant's provision of services. Plaintiff and Class members accepted Defendant's offers and provided their PHI and PII to Defendant.

167. When entering into implied contracts, Plaintiff and Class members reasonably believed and expected that Defendant's data security practices complied with its statutory and common law duties to adequately protect Plaintiff's PHI and PII and to timely notify them in the event of a data breach.

168. Defendant's implied promise to safeguard consumers' PHI and PII is evidenced by, *e.g.*, the representations in Defendant's Notice of Privacy Practices set forth above.

169. Plaintiff and Class members paid money to Defendant in order to receive services. Plaintiff and Class members reasonably believed and expected that Defendant would use part of those funds to obtain adequate data security. Defendant failed to do so.

1 170. Plaintiff and Class members would not have provided their PHI and PII
2 to Defendant had they known that Defendant would not safeguard their PII, as
3 promised, or provide timely notice of a data breach.

4 171. Plaintiff and Class members fully performed their obligations under
5 their implied contracts with Defendant.

6 172. Defendant breached its implied contracts with Plaintiff and Class
7 members by failing to safeguard Plaintiff and Class members' PHI and PII and by
8 failing to provide them with timely and accurate notice of the Data Breach.

9 173. The losses and damages Plaintiff and Class members sustained include,
10 but are not limited to:

- 11 a. Theft of their PHI and PII;
- 12 b. Costs associated with purchasing credit monitoring and identity
13 theft protection services;
- 14 c. Costs associated with the detection and prevention of identity
15 theft and unauthorized use of their PHI and PII;
- 16 d. Lowered credit scores resulting from credit inquiries following
17 fraudulent activities;
- 18 e. Costs associated with time spent and the loss of productivity
19 from taking time to address and attempt to ameliorate, mitigate,
20 and deal with the actual and future consequences of the Data
21 Breach – including finding fraudulent charges, cancelling and
22 reissuing cards, enrolling in credit monitoring and identity theft
23 protection services, freezing and unfreezing accounts, and
24 imposing withdrawal and purchase limits on compromised
25 accounts;
- 26
- 27
- 28

- f. The imminent and certainly impending injury flowing from the increased risk of potential fraud and identity theft posed by their PHI and PII being placed in the hands of criminals;
- g. Damages to and diminution in value of their PHI and PII entrusted, directly or indirectly, to Defendant with the mutual understanding that Defendant would safeguard Plaintiff's and Class members' data against theft and not allow access and misuse of their data by others;
- h. Continued risk of exposure to hackers and thieves of their PHI and PII, which remains in Defendant's possession and is subject to further breaches so long as Defendant fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect Plaintiff and Class members' data; and
- i. Emotional distress from the unauthorized disclosure of PHI and PII to strangers who likely have nefarious intentions and now have prime opportunities to commit identity theft, fraud, and other types of attacks on Plaintiff and Class members.

174. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of contract, Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to damages, including compensatory, punitive, and/or nominal damages, in an amount to be proven at trial.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

UNJUST ENRICHMENT

(Plaintiff on behalf of the Class)

175. Plaintiff restates and realleges the preceding allegations above as if fully alleged herein.

1 176. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the Class in the
2 alternative to Plaintiff's implied contract claim.

3 177. Upon information and belief, Defendant funds its data security
4 measures entirely from its general revenue, including payments made by or on behalf
5 of Plaintiff and Class members.

6 178. As such, a portion of the payments made by or on behalf of Plaintiff
7 and Class members is to be used to provide a reasonable level of data security, and
8 the amount of the portion of each payment made that is allocated to data security is
9 known to Defendant.

10 179. Plaintiff and Class members conferred a monetary benefit on Defendant.
11 Specifically, they purchased services from Defendant and/or its agents and in so
12 doing provided Defendant with their PHI and PII. In exchange, Plaintiffs and Class
13 members should have received from Defendant the services that were the subject of
14 the transaction and have their PHI and PII protected with adequate data security.

15 180. Defendant knew that Plaintiff and Class members conferred a benefit
16 which Defendant accepted. Defendant profited from these transactions and used the
17 PHI and PII of Plaintiff and Class members for business purposes.

18 181. In particular, Defendant enriched itself by saving the costs it reasonably
19 should have expended on data security measures to secure Plaintiff and Class
20 members' PHI and PII. Instead of providing a reasonable level of security that would
21 have prevented the Data Breach, Defendant instead calculated to increase its own
22 profits at the expense of Plaintiff and Class members by utilizing cheaper, ineffective
23 security measures. Plaintiff and Class members, on the other hand, suffered as a
24 direct and proximate result of Defendant's decision to prioritize its own profits over
25 the requisite security.
26
27
28

1 182. Under the principles of equity and good conscience, Defendant should
2 not be permitted to retain the money belonging to Plaintiff and Class members,
3 because Defendant failed to implement appropriate data management and security
4 measures that are mandated by its common law and statutory duties.

5 183. Defendant failed to secure Plaintiff's and Class members' PHI and PII
6 and, therefore, did not provide full compensation for the benefit Plaintiff and Class
7 members provided.

8 184. Defendant acquired the PHI and PII through inequitable means in that
9 it failed to disclose the inadequate security practices previously alleged.

10 185. If Plaintiff and Class members knew that Defendant had not reasonably
11 secured their PHI and PII, they would not have agreed to provide their PHI and PII
12 to Defendant.

13 186. Plaintiff and Class members have no adequate remedy at law.

14 187. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and
15 Class members have suffered injuries, including, but not limited to:
16

- 17 a. Theft of their PHI and PII;
18 b. Costs associated with purchasing credit monitoring and identity
19 theft protection services;
20 c. Costs associated with the detection and prevention of identity
21 theft and unauthorized use of their PHI and PII;
22 d. Lowered credit scores resulting from credit inquiries following
23 fraudulent activities;
24 e. Costs associated with time spent and the loss of productivity
25 from taking time to address and attempt to ameliorate, mitigate,
26 and deal with the actual and future consequences of the Data
27 Breach – including finding fraudulent charges, cancelling and
28

1 reissuing cards, enrolling in credit monitoring and identity theft
2 protection services, freezing and unfreezing accounts, and
3 imposing withdrawal and purchase limits on compromised
4 accounts;

5 f. The imminent and certainly impending injury flowing from the
6 increased risk of potential fraud and identity theft posed by their
7 PHI and PII being placed in the hands of criminals;

8 g. Damages to and diminution in value of their PHI and PII
9 entrusted, directly or indirectly, to Defendant with the mutual
10 understanding that Defendant would safeguard Plaintiff's and
11 Class members' data against theft and not allow access and
12 misuse of their data by others;

13 h. Continued risk of exposure to hackers and thieves of their PHI
14 and PII, which remains in Defendant's possession and is subject
15 to further breaches so long as Defendant fails to undertake
16 appropriate and adequate measures to protect Plaintiff's and
17 Class members' data; and

18 i. Emotional distress from the unauthorized disclosure of PHI and
19 PII to strangers who likely have nefarious intentions and now
20 have prime opportunities to commit identity theft, fraud, and
21 other types of attacks on Plaintiff and Class members.
22

23 188. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and
24 Class members have suffered and will continue to suffer other forms of injury and/or
25 harm.

26 189. Defendant should be compelled to disgorge into a common fund or
27 constructive trust, for the benefit of Plaintiff and Class members, proceeds that it
28

1 unjustly received from them. In the alternative, Defendant should be compelled to
2 refund the amounts that Plaintiff and Class members overpaid for Defendant's
3 services.

4 **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**
5 **DECLARATORY JUDGMENT**
6 **(Plaintiff on behalf of the Class)**
7

8 190. Plaintiff restates and realleges the preceding allegations the paragraphs
9 above as if fully alleged herein.

10 191. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the Class.

11 192. Under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, *et seq.*, this
12 Court is authorized to enter a judgment declaring the rights and legal relations of the
13 parties and granting further necessary relief. Furthermore, the Court has broad
14 authority to restrain acts, such as here, that are tortious and violate the terms of the
15 federal statutes described in this Complaint.

16 193. An actual controversy has arisen in the wake of the Data Breach
17 regarding Defendant's present and prospective common law and other duties to
18 reasonably safeguard Plaintiff's and Class members' PHI and PII, and whether
19 Defendant is currently maintaining data security measures adequate to protect
20 Plaintiff and Class members from future data breaches that compromise their PHI
21 and PII. Plaintiff and the Class remain at imminent risk of further compromises of
22 their PHI and PII will occur in the future.

23 194. The Court should also issue prospective injunctive relief requiring
24 Defendant to employ adequate security practices consistent with law and industry
25 standards to protect consumers' PHI and PII.

26 195. Defendant still possesses the PHI and PII of Plaintiff and the Class.
27
28

1 196. To Plaintiff's knowledge, Defendant has made no announcement or
2 notification that it has remedied the vulnerabilities and negligent data security
3 practices that led to the Data Breach.

4 197. If an injunction is not issued, Plaintiff and the Class will suffer
5 irreparable injury and lack an adequate legal remedy in the event of another data
6 breach at Defendant. The risk of another such breach is real, immediate, and
7 substantial.

8 198. The hardship to Plaintiff and Class members if an injunction does not
9 issue exceeds the hardship to Defendant if an injunction is issued. Among other
10 things, if another data breach occurs at Defendant, Plaintiff and Class members will
11 likely continue to be subjected to a heightened, substantial, imminent risk of fraud,
12 identify theft, and other harms described herein. On the other hand, the cost to
13 Defendant of complying with an injunction by employing reasonable prospective
14 data security measures is relatively minimal, and Defendant has a pre-existing legal
15 obligation to employ such measures.

16 199. Issuance of the requested injunction will not disserve the public interest.
17 To the contrary, such an injunction would benefit the public by preventing another
18 data breach at Defendant, thus eliminating the additional injuries that would result
19 to Plaintiff and Class members, along with other consumers whose PHI and PII
20 would be further compromised.

21 200. Pursuant to its authority under the Declaratory Judgment Act, this Court
22 should enter a judgment declaring that Defendant implement and maintain
23 reasonable security measures, including but not limited to the following:

- 24 a. Engaging third-party security auditors/penetration testers, as well as
25 internal security personnel, to conduct testing that includes
26 simulated attacks, penetration tests, and audits on Defendant's
27
28

1 systems on a periodic basis, and ordering Defendant to promptly
 2 correct any problems or issues detected by such third-party security
 3 auditors;

- 4 b. Engaging third-party security auditors and internal personnel to run
 5 automated security monitoring;
- 6 c. Auditing, testing, and training its security personnel regarding any
 7 new or modified procedures;
- 8 d. Purging, deleting, and destroying PHI and PII not necessary for its
 9 provisions of services in a reasonably secure manner;
- 10 e. Conducting regular database scans and security checks; and
- 11 f. Routinely and continually conducting internal training and
 12 education to inform internal security personnel how to identify and
 13 contain a breach when it occurs and what to do in response to a
 14 breach.
 15

16 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

17 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,
 18 pray for relief as follows:

- 19 a. For an Order certifying this action as a Class action and appointing
 20 Plaintiff as a Class Representative and her counsel as Class Counsel;
- 21 b. For equitable relief enjoining Defendant from engaging in the
 22 wrongful conduct complained of herein pertaining to the misuse
 23 and/or disclosure of Plaintiff and Class members' PHI and PII, and
 24 from refusing to issue prompt, complete and accurate disclosures to
 25 Plaintiff and Class members;
- 26 c. For equitable relief compelling Defendant to utilize appropriate
 27 methods and policies with respect to consumer data collection,
 28

storage, and safety, and to disclose with specificity the type of Personal Information compromised during the Data Breach;

- d. For equitable relief requiring restitution and disgorgement of the revenues wrongfully retained as a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct;
- e. Ordering Defendant to pay for not less than three years of credit monitoring services for Plaintiff and the Class;
- f. For an award of actual damages, compensatory damages, statutory damages, and statutory penalties, in an amount to be determined, as allowable by law;
- g. For an award of punitive damages, as allowable by law;
- h. For an award of attorneys' fees and costs, and any other expense, including expert witness fees;
- i. Pre- and post-judgment interest on any amounts awarded; and,
- j. Such other and further relief as this court may deem just and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

A jury trial is demanded by Plaintiff on all claims so triable.

Dated this 9th day of April, 2024.

/s/Eric Lechtzin

Eric Lechtzin (I.D. # 248958)
EDELSON LECHTZIN LLP
 411 S. State Street, Suite N-300
 Newtown, PA 18940
 Telephone: (215) 867-2399
 Facsimile: (267) 685-0676
 elechtzin@edelson-law.com